Demography of the critically endangered Balearic shearwater: the impact of fisheries and time to extinction

Meritxell Genovart[1]*, José Manuel Arcos[2], David Álvarez[1], Miguel McMinn[3], Rhiannon Meier[4], Russell B. Wynn[4], Tim Guilford[5] and Daniel Oro[1]

[1]*Population Ecology Group, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Miquel Marquès 21, 07190 Esporles, Mallorca, Spain;* [2]*SEO/BirdLife, Delegació de Catalunya, C/Murcia 2-8, Local 13, 08026 Barcelona, Spain;* [3]*Balearic Shearwater Conservation Association, Puig Teide 4, Palmanova 07181, Spain;* [4]*Marine Geoscience Group, National Oceanography Centre, European Way, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK; and* [5]*Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK*

Summary

1. World-wide, many seabirds are affected by fisheries in opposing ways: as a source of mortality from bycatch, but also by providing discards as a predictable and abundant food resource. This applies to the Balearic shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus*, the most endangered European seabird, whose time to extinction was estimated at only ˜40 years a decade ago.

2. Since the previous assessment, new data and more sophisticated demographic modelling have become available, and new fishing policies from the European Union (Common Fisheries Policy, CFP) will apply, posing different scenarios for the viability of the species. Thus, there is both an urgent need and an opportunity for a more reliable update of the conservation status of the species.

3. Demographic data were collected between 1985 and 2014 at one of the world's largest colonies. Most demographic parameters were estimated using multievent capture–recapture modelling. Some parameters, such as bycatch rate, immature individual survival and recruitment, were estimated for the first time. We incorporated estimates into stochastic population models to forecast time to extinction and assess the viability under different management scenarios, accounting for upcoming fishing policies.

4. Adult survival was much lower than expected (0·809, SE: 0·013) and largely influenced by bycatch, which accounted for a minimum of 0·455 (SE: 0·230) of total mortality. Breeding success was positively correlated with discard availability. Recruitment started at low rates in 3-year-old birds (0·030, SE: 0·0455), increasing in following age classes and was almost complete at 6 years. Under the present scenario, we predict a time to extinction of 61 years (95% CI: 55–69).

5. *Synthesis and applications*. Population projections suggest that the actual impact of fisheries on Balearic shearwaters is unsustainable and the imminent discard ban under the new Common Fisheries Policy may accelerate the declining trend. This study demonstrates that reducing the bycatch rates of fisheries is an unavoidable and urgent conservation measure for avoiding the extinction of the species. We also advise setting up demographic long-term studies, to allow researchers to diagnose, with reliability, the effectiveness of management actions. These actions will also benefit many other marine top-predator species affected by this anthropogenic impact.

Key-words: bycatch, conservation, discards, environmental policies, extinction, marine predator, multievent capture–recapture, population models, survival

*Correspondence author. E-mail: m.genovart@uib.es

Introduction

Effective management of species, especially for threatened taxa, should begin by estimating, with accuracy, their demographic parameters in order to assess population growth rate, elaborate a conservation diagnosis and make predictions about populations (Caswell 2001; Morris & Doak 2002). More importantly, conservation-oriented science informs managers about what demographic parameters are unsustainable, aiding optimization of priority actions and ensuring that efforts are concentrated on those parameters that most need to be remedied (e.g. Norris 2004). This is essential when dealing with endangered species, because time to reverse sharp declining trends is limited and good management should target the most effective actions. Nevertheless, many endangered species are neither abundant nor widespread, and surveillance monitoring typically provides weak inferences about population declines.

This is the case for the Balearic shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus*, the most threatened seabird in Europe and listed as critically endangered, which is the highest IUCN threat category for a taxon in the wild (BirdLife International 2016). Balearic shearwaters breed mostly in inaccessible sites, so it is unfeasible to monitor population trends using counts, abundance indices or similar techniques. Categorization of the species was made 10 years ago using scattered demographic information applied to a population viability analysis (PVA) that predicted a mean extinction time of only ~40 years (Oro *et al.* 2004). Since that assessment, new demographic and population data have become available, more sophisticated demographic modelling has been developed, and new fisheries policies from the European Union have been introduced (Common Fisheries Policy: CFP); these changes pose a different future scenario for the Balearic shearwater and highlight the urgent need for a more reliable update of its conservation status.

The census of the breeding population was recently updated to ~3200 pairs, a much larger figure than the previous estimate of 2000 pairs (Arcos 2011). Recent at-sea and coastal-based surveys suggest a global population in the range of 20 000–30 000 individuals (Arcos *et al.* 2012). These new figures make necessary a reassessment of the conservation status of the species, but to do it properly survival estimates should also be updated, and bycatch mortality quantified (Oro *et al.* 2004; ICES 2013). Incidental capture or bycatch represents ~8% of global fisheries production (Kelleher 2005) and is a major threat for seabirds, particularly for the Procellariiformes (Anderson *et al.* 2011; Croxall *et al.* 2012), and the Balearic shearwater is no exception (Cooper *et al.* 2003; Laneri *et al.* 2010; ICES 2013). Conversely, there is also evidence that Balearic shearwaters reap some benefit from fisheries through discard scavenging, for example Arcos & Oro (2002) found that >40% of the energy requirements of Balearic shearwaters during the breeding season came from trawler discards. The increase in food availability provided by discards (and their high predictability in space and time) seems to be responsible for the growth of many seabird populations, mediated by a positive effect on reproductive success and probably also survival (Oro *et al.* 2013). However, forthcoming CFP regulations, aimed at banning discard practices, could negatively influence the breeding performance of this species, at least in the short term (Bicknell *et al.* 2013). More positively, bycatch mortality is expected to decrease in the future, as increasing awareness leads to mitigation action through a specific Plan of Action (see Table S1, Supporting information).

We used historical ringing and recovery data collected between 1985 and 2014, and new at-sea estimates of population size to (i) update adult survival probability and estimate for the first time: immature individual survival, recruitment probability by age and the probability of dying in fishing gear, by using multievent capture–recapture modelling, (ii) estimate breeding success and assess the influence of fishing discards on this parameter, and (iii) construct population models for assessing the probability of extinction under several scenarios considering the contrasting effects of fisheries. While Oro *et al.* (2004) used a limited number of years to estimate demographic parameters, the present study uses recently developed capture–recapture models that allowed us to exploit a much larger data set covering 30 years of monitoring.

Materials and methods

STUDY AREA AND FIELD METHODS

Data were collected at Sa Cella cave (on the north-west coast of Mallorca, Balearic Islands, Spain), which had one of the largest colonies of the species (~170–200 breeding pairs) between 1985 and 2014, except for the period 2005–2009, when the colony was not monitored. The colony is free of carnivores and rats and legally protected.

Adults and chicks were trapped by hand, marked with stainless steel rings with a unique code (see details in Oro *et al.* 2004) and their breeding status assigned (either breeder or unknown). Recoveries were obtained from the Spanish ringing office (SEO/BirdLife) and provided by fishermen, researchers and wildlife recovery centres. Each recovery was assigned as caused by longline fishing (carrying a hook) or unknown.

ANALYSIS OF DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS

To estimate survival, recruitment and probability of dying in fishing gear, mark–recapture and recovery data were jointly analysed in the frame of multievent capture–recapture modelling (Pradel 2005). In this modelling approach, we relate the true states of the individuals with the observed events through a series of conditional probabilities (Pradel 2005). Models were fitted in the program E-SURGE (Choquet, Rouan & Pradel 2009), which distinguishes three basic types of parameters: the initial state probabilities, the transition probabilities between states and the

event probabilities. Model selection relied on QAICc, that is the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for overdispersion and for small sample sizes (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Because there is no goodness-of-fit test available for multievent models, we assessed the fit of a model that only retains whether an individual is encountered or not (Cormack–Jolly–Seber type models) using U-care (Choquet et al. 2009).

Given that the capture–recapture effort was not uniform during all study years, we initially performed an analysis with a reduced data set containing no data collection gaps, to extract reliable estimates of age at recruitment (*Recruitment analysis*). We subsequently carried out a second analysis on the complete data set, and fixed age of recruitment to estimate both immature and adult survival and the probability of fisheries-related mortality (*Global analysis*). We distinguished between breeder and non-breeder survival, because those not observed as breeders may be transients, and therefore artificially reduce estimated local survival rates. Our model incorporated an error probability of ascertaining an individual's breeding status, that is the probability that a breeder was not observed to be breeding.

Recruitment analysis

Using data from 1994 to 2004, we classified individuals into two groups based on the age at first capture (chicks and adults). Models included three biological states: alive breeder (B), alive non-breeder (NB) and dead (D). The last state was not observable, and the initial state in our models was always NB in animals marked as chicks. Transitions between states were modelled in a two-step approach: survival and recapture probability. In each capture–recapture occasion 't', we considered three possible events: individual not seen (noted 0), individual seen alive but with unknown breeding status (noted 1) and individual seen breeding (noted 2). Recruitment was defined as the probability r_i of breeding for the first time at each age i and equalled the transition from the state of non-breeder to breeder. As birds do not visit the colony before 3 years of age (Oro et al. 2004, own data), survival was modelled separately for both immature individuals (1 and 2 years old) and adults (for breeders and non-breeders) and was kept constant in all models (see details in Appendix S1). We also undertook a model run to test whether survival of immature individuals was equivalent to non-breeding adults. Then, we tested several models considering different age curves at recruitment, starting at 3 years old and with full recruitment from 3 to ≥7 years old. We had no power to test for longer age curves of recruitment, although results suggested that recruitment at older ages was likely rare.

Global analysis

We analysed all data available from 1985 to 2014 and, as previously, individuals were classified into two groups based on their age at first capture. Models included five biological states: alive breeder (B), alive non-breeder (NB), individual recently dead by longline bycatch (RF), individual recently dead by unknown causes (RD) and dead (D), this last state being non-observable. The initial state in our models was always NB for chicks. Transitions between states were modelled in a three-step approach: survival, probability of death in bycatch events and recruitment probability. In each capture–recapture occasion ('t'), we considered five possible events: individual not seen (noted 0), individual

seen alive but with unknown breeding status (noted 1), individual seen breeding (noted 2), individual found recently dead by bycatch (noted 3), individual found recently dead by unknown causes (noted 4) (see details in Appendix S1).

As in the Recruitment analysis, we assumed two different survivals: immature and adult, the latter considering different survival for breeders and non-breeders. Given that only ringing (but not recapture) was carried out from 1985 to 1996, we estimated survival separately for the two periods (1985–1996 and 1997–2014) and assumed only estimates from the second period were reliable. We additionally undertook one model run assuming the same survival for the whole study period, to be more confident in our assumptions. We also tested for a time variant survival for immature individuals and adults. We estimated the probability of dying in fishing gear, conditional to dying, and we additionally tested an age effect on this probability, that is separately for immature individuals and adults. After modelling first recapture probabilities (models not shown except model 5 in Table 1), we selected a model in which recapture probabilities were kept time variant except for the last five years of the study, when fieldwork effort was constant. As we did not have enough data to check whether recovery probability varied over time, we kept it constant. Additionally, due to our limited recovery data sample size, we assumed equal recovery rates for bycatch individuals and those dead by unknown causes. We should state that even though this may not be advisable (Schaub & Pradel 2002), here both recovery rates are very small, and even if some bias does exist, it is not clear in which direction and it is probably not large (see also results from *Bycatch events in Balearic shearwaters* section below).

Once the probability of bycatch was estimated, we then roughly estimated hypothetical survival without incidental capture, both for immature individuals and for adults. We did so by adding the estimated probability of dying in fishing gear to the survival probability, assuming additive mortality in both age classes.

Breeding success and fishing discards

Sant Carles de la Ràpita harbour holds the bulk of the important trawling fleet operating off the Ebro Delta, where Balearic shearwaters often forage (Louzao et al. 2006). The amount of trawling discards and trawling landings are correlated (Oro & Ruiz 1997), thus we used the statistics of trawling landings at this harbour between March and June (i.e. encompassing most of the breeding cycle) as a proxy for interannual variability in food availability. Breeding success of monitored study nests was calculated between 1997–2004 and 2010–2013, as the number of fledglings by eggs laid, each season. We then used generalized linear models (GLM), with a logit link function and binomial error, to test for the potential association between our proxy of food availability and breeding success over the 12-year period. The intercept of this logistic regression function corresponded to the estimated breeding success in the absence of discards, and this value was used as the breeding success in the scenarios with discard banning.

Bycatch events in Balearic shearwaters

In addition to the capture–recapture analyses, we also gathered additional information on registered bycatch events in Balearic

Table 1. Model selection from the *global analysis* (see Materials and methods) for estimating survival and the probability of dying in fishing gear, by age (immature and adult). Recruitment probability was fixed at values previously estimated. Given that no resightings were carried out from 1985 to 1997, some models considered two separate periods: 1985–1996 and 1997–2014. Recapture probability was fixed to zero in years with no resightings. In all models except model 5, we left recapture probability to vary annually during the first 24 years of the study (1985–2009*t) and assumed it to be constant during the last 5 years of the study (2010–2014), when the recapture effort was highly constant among years. Np = number of identifiable parameters. w_i = Akaike weight, which represent the relative likelihood of model i

Model	Survival	Bycatch	Recapture	Np	Deviance	QAICc	ΔQAICc	w_i
1	Constant by age, two periods	Constant	1985–2009*t, 2010–2014	34	4675·759	2055·399	0	0·634
2	Constant by age, two periods	By age	1985–2009*t, 2010–2014	35	4673·479	2056·495	1·096	0·366
3	Constant by age, one period	Constant	1985–2009*t, 2010–2014	31	4726·910	2070·945	15·546	0·000
4	Age, Time varying, two periods	Constant	1985–2009*t, 2010–2014	71	4600·788	2101·242	45·843	0·000
5	Constant by age, two periods	Constant	1985–2009*t, 2010–2014*t	42	4669·172	2069·174	13·775	0·000

shearwaters. Data were obtained from the literature and from Wildlife Recovery Centres in Catalonia, Valence and the Balearic Islands, where the species mostly ranges. We gathered data from all Balearic shearwaters entering one of these Wildlife Recovery centres from 1985 to 2014. For each animal arriving at the centre, the cause of death was recorded, if possible.

POPULATION MODELLING

We formulated a seven-stage class matrix population model (Fig. 1, Table S2) to assess the population growth rate of Balearic shearwaters under current and possible future environmental conditions. The model followed a pre-breeding census format and was based only on females, assuming equal survival between sexes and monogamy (Oro *et al.* 2004). All projection models were developed and executed in program ʀ (http://cran.r-project.org).

Deterministic analysis

We first carried out a deterministic analysis that included mean values of the estimated vital rates and yielded the deterministic population growth rate or λ [largest eigenvalue of the population matrix, Caswell (2001)]. All the vital rates used in the model were derived from this study, except the probability of skipping breeding, which we obtained from Oro *et al.* (2004). To initialize the models, we used the highest available estimate of current population size, obtained from at-sea censuses (Arcos *et al.* 2012; Arroyo *et al.* 2014). In addition to population growth rates, the deterministic model was used to estimate other important information, such as the stable age distribution, generation time, reproductive value and sensitivities and elasticities. We then used the estimated stable age distribution to initialize the stochastic models.


Fig. 1. Life cycle diagram used to project the Balearic shearwater population (pre-breeding census). Birds indicate age-stage classes: N1: individuals 1 year old, N2: 2 years old, N3$_{NB}$: 3 years old not recruited, N4$_{NB}$: 4 years old not recruited, N5$_{NB}$: 5 years old not recruited, N$_B$: breeders, N$_{NB}$: animals in sabbatical that had bred at least once. Υ: sabbatical probability, $r_{3,4,5}$: recruitment probability (probability of breeding for the first time) at 3, 4 and 5 years old, respectively; ρ: hatching sex ratio, S1: immature individual survival (first and second year of life); S2: adult survival for a non-breeder; S3: adult survival for a breeder; f: fecundity (fledging/female × year).

Stochastic analysis

While the deterministic growth rate describes the population trend for constant, invariant vital rates, we also constructed stochastic models and we accounted for parameter uncertainty and annual variability in those rates to assess the risk of population decline or extinction. To do so, we first assessed temporal variability in survival parameters in the species. Based on the time-varying model, we decomposed variance and found that all the observed variance was due to sampling variance. Additionally, the model assuming annual variability in survival performed worse than those assuming constant survival (Model 4 in Table 1); thus, we included parameter uncertainty in survival parameters in our models, but not temporal stochasticity. We also assessed temporal variability in fecundity by estimating the process variance in this parameter and then used this variance to account for stochasticity in fertility in our projections. As we could not estimate temporal variability in recruitment, or skipping probability, we left these parameters constant over time and only took into account parameter uncertainty in our projections. To include temporal stochasticity in fecundity, we picked random values from beta distributions in each year of simulations, using the mean and process variance values. We did not consider density dependence in our model because population growth rate was negative in all cases. Models were run using Monte Carlo simulations for '100 years' and '1000 population' trajectories. We ran models under different scenarios considering the current fisheries impact and hypothetical scenarios with different combinations of bycatch intensity and discard availability according to the EU fishing policies (Table 2). We also set some scenarios using the lowest survival estimates from a range of published values for similar Procellariiformes of the *Puffinus* genus, which are less affected by bycatch and other anthropogenic mortalities (Table S3). In all scenarios, survival of non-breeders was considered to be equal to the survival of breeders, because we assumed that environmental stochasticity equally affected the two groups (Table 2). Under all scenarios, we estimated the mean stochastic population growth rate (λ_s) over a short and relevant time horizon of 100 years from 1000 projections, together with 95% confidence intervals:

$$\lambda_s = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} \exp\left[\frac{\ln(N_i(T=100)) - \ln(N_i(T=0))}{100}\right]$$

Detecting overharvesting

We further evaluated the impact of longline bycatch as an additional source of mortality, using the 'potential biological removal' PBR (Dillingham & Fletcher 2008). We first calculated the maximum potential annual growth rate (λ_{max}) by means of the 'demographic invariant method' DIM (Niel & Lebreton 2005):

$$\lambda_{max} \approx \frac{(s\alpha - s + \alpha + 1) + \sqrt{(s - s\alpha - \alpha - 1)^2 - 4s\alpha^2}}{2\alpha}$$

which assumes constant adult survival probability s and the average age at first reproduction α. Since s was affected by longline bycatch (see Results), we took the average minimum survival estimates from studies on closely related Procellariiformes not affected by additive mortality (0·917, see Table S3). To obtain α, we first calculated α_i (the probability of a bird of age i being a first-time breeder) from our recruitment probability r_i through the equation:

$$\alpha_i = r_i \prod_{y \le j < i} (1 - r_j), i \le f$$

where y was the youngest age at breeding, and f was the full age at recruitment (Pradel & Lebreton 1999). From α_i, we obtained α as:

$$\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i$$

which equalled 4·83 for Balearic shearwaters in our study.

Table 2. Estimates of demographic parameters used in population models (standard errors in brackets) for each scenario considered, together with its mean stochastic population growth rate λ_s and 95% confidence intervals. Scenario 1: current situation. Scenario 2: reduced breeding success under future ban of discards. Scenario 3: conditions under future ban of discards but bycatch reduced. Scenario 4: current situation and bycatch reduced. Scenario 5: hypothetical conditions with minimum survival probabilities described for closely related Procellariiformes in optimal environments, and with a ban of discards. Scenario 6: Same demographic parameters as scenario 5 but no ban of discards. Sex ratio was set to 0·5 in all models. Recruitment and sabbatical estimates were common for all scenarios; recruitment was 1 for individuals >6 years old

Scenario	1	2	3	4	5	6
Survival affected by bycatch	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Discard banning	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Demographic parameter						
Adult survival	0·809 (0·013)	0·809 (0·013)	0·896 (0·013)	0·896 (0·013)	0·917 (0·014)	0·917 (0·014)
Immature individual survival (1–2 years)	0·434 (0·043)	0·434 (0·043)	0·691 (0·043)	0·691 (0·043)	0·853 (0·043)	0·853 (0·043)
Breeding success	0·665 (0·134)	0·433 (0·137)	0·433 (0·137)	0·665 (0·134)	0·433 (0·137)	0·665 (0·134)
Sabbatical probability	0·261 (0·063)					
Recruitment probability						
3 years	0·030 (0·045)					
4 years	0·243 (0·122)					
≥5 years	0·431 (0·155)					
λ_s	0·856	0·848	0·951	0·972	1·006	1·044
λ_s lower 95% CI	0·841	0·838	0·938	0·955	0·993	1·002
λ_s upper 95% CI	0·872	0·860	0·965	0·989	1·020	1.079

Then we calculated PBR as:

$$\text{PBR} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\max} N_{\min} f$$

where $R_{\max}$ is the maximum annual recruitment rate, equalling ($\lambda_{\max} - 1$), $N_{\min}$ is a conservative estimate of population size and f is a recovery factor with values ranging from 0·1 to 1 depending on population conservation status and the best adaptive management action to be taken.

To calculate $N_{\min}$, we took the 20th percentile of the distribution of population size following the equation (Dillingham & Fletcher 2008):

$$N_{\min} = \hat{N} \exp\left(-0.84\sqrt{\ln(1+\text{CV}_N^2)}\right)$$

where $\hat{N}$ equals 23 780 individuals, and CV_N equals 0·03, using the mean and its 95% CI of that estimate provided by Arroyo *et al.* (2014). We set f at a conservative value of 0·1, typical for endangered species.

Results

During March–June of 1985–2014, a total of 1344 individuals were captured and ringed at the study colony, corresponding to 761 chicks (57%) and 583 adults (43%). A total of 394 marked individuals were recaptured at least once, of which 179 were marked as chicks and recruited as breeders at the study colony (24% of all ringed chicks). More than half of the marked adults (54%) were never recaptured. We obtained 11 recoveries, five dead from bycatch and six from unknown causes.

RECRUITMENT ANALYSIS

The goodness-of-fit for the Cormack–Jolly–Seber model was poor ($\hat{c} = 3.993$) mainly due to a transient effect from individuals ringed as chicks. Thus, we included age in our models and then corrected for the remaining overdispersion with a $\hat{c} = 2.270$.

Three models were best ranked in model selection: suggesting that there are two, three or four ages of recruitment (from 3 to 6, models 1, 2 and 3 in Table 3), respectively. Estimates from the three models were very close (Table S4) and showed that most individuals were recruited by 6 years old, with low recruitment at 3 years of age. We took recruitment estimates from the intermediate Model 2 for assessing population viability. Probabilities of recruitment at age i (r_i; mean and SE) from Model 2 were: $r_3 = 0.030$ (SE: 0·045), $r_4 = 0.243$ (SE: 0·122), $r_{>5} = 0.431$ (SE: 0·155). The model assuming equal survival for immature individuals and non-breeding adults was not well supported (Model 6, Table 3).

GLOBAL ANALYSIS

When analysing the complete data set, the goodness-of-fit for the Cormack–Jolly–Seber model was poor ($\hat{c} = 3.264$)

due to the presence of transients among individuals ringed as chicks. We included age in our models and corrected for remaining overdispersion with a $\hat{c} = 2.350$.

The model with the lowest QAICc value (Model 1, Table 1; parameters estimates on Table S5) differentiated the two periods with and without recaptures: this model indicated that survival did not vary significantly over the years and it was much lower for 1- and 2-year-old individuals (immature) than for older birds: 0·434 (95% CI: 0·351–0·520) and 0·809 (95% CI: 0·782–0·833), respectively. Survival of non-breeders was not estimable given our data. The model considering the whole study period (1985–2014) had a higher QAICc value (Model 3, Table 1) and confirmed that the first period without recaptures was only valuable for using birds marked during this period. Given the limited data on bycatch events, we could not disentangle if there was a different bycatch probability for immature individuals and adults, because both models had similar QAICc values (Models 1 and 2, Table 1). Incidental capture in longlines was estimated at 0·455 (95% CI: 0·119–0·837), which meant that approximately half of mortality was attributable to bycatch, with a probability of mortality from longlines of 0·256 and 0·087 in immature individuals and adults, respectively. Hypothetical local survival without incidental capture was thus estimated at 0·691 (SE: 0·043) and 0·896 (SE: 0·013) for immature individuals and adults, respectively. The confidence interval for bycatch probability is extremely high due to the limited sample size of recovery data, so these estimates should be treated with caution.

BREEDING SUCCESS AND FISHING DISCARDS

Mean breeding success at the study colony was estimated at 0·665 (SE: 0·038), ranging from 0·400 to 0·920 fledglings per breeding pair. Breeding success was positively associated with trawling landings ($z = 3.170$, d.f. = 11, $P = 0.001$, Fig. S1). The intercept of the logistic regression function corresponding to the estimated breeding success in the absence of discards was 0·433 fledglings per pair (SE = 0·137).

BYCATCH EVENTS IN BALEARIC SHEARWATERS

When gathering data from the literature, we observed that bycatch events are frequent in the species even if their occurrence seems difficult to quantify (Table S6).

From 1985 to 2014, we found registered deaths of 78 Balearic shearwaters at Wildlife Recovery Centres in Valence, Catalonia and the Balearic Islands. In 57·7% of cases, the cause of death could not be determined. From the remaining individuals (43·3%), in more than half of the cases (67%), the cause of death was bycatch in fisheries gear, and longline bycatch represented 48·5% of the total known mortality.

Table 3. Model selection for *recruitment analysis* (see Materials and methods). Notation for recruitment indicates the different age groups considered: for instance, '3, 4, ≥5' showed different recruitment probabilities for 3- and 4-year-old and older birds. Np = number of identifiable parameters. w_i = Akaike weight, which represents the relative likelihood of model i

Model	Survival	Recruitment	Np	Deviance	QAICc	ΔQAICc	w_i
1	Two age classes	3, ≥4	25	2708·324	1244·584	0	0·340
2	Two age classes	3, 4, ≥5	26	2706·118	1245·682	1·097	0·197
3	Two age classes	3, 4, 5, ≥6	27	2702·278	1246·061	1·477	0·160
4	Two age classes	3, 4, 5, 6, ≥7	28	2702·015	1248·020	3·436	0·060
5	Two age classes	Constant	24	2723·051	1249·009	4·425	0·040
6	Breeders/Non-breeders	3 ,4, 5, ≥6	25	2729·812	1254·056	9·471	0·000

POPULATION MODELLING

Deterministic analysis

The estimated deterministic λ was 0·855, reflecting an annual decline of approximately 14% in population size, and a generation time of 12·8 years. The stable stage distribution for the species showed that 57·3% of females are breeders (Table S7). Hence, taking into account the recent global population estimate, the number of breeding pairs should be *c*. 7200. Sensitivity and elasticity analysis showed that changes in survival of breeding adults, and to a smaller extent the probability of a skipping breeder to reproduce again, had the largest effect on the population growth rate, and fertility the smallest effect (Table S7).

Stochastic analysis

When adding environmental stochasticity under current conditions, the mean growth rate for the population λ_s was 0·856 (95% CI: 0·841–0·872) (Table 2, Fig. 2). We estimated a time to extinction of 61 years (95% CI: 55–69). The only scenarios with stable or increasing trends were those in which survival reached values comparable to those described for closely related Procellariiformes (scenarios 5 and 6, Table 2, Fig. 2). With these higher survival probabilities, the population should avoid extinction even with a ban on discards reducing fertility (Scenario 5, Table 2).

Detecting overharvesting

Using the DIM approach, λ_{max} was 1·101 (range 1·087–1·112). This suggests that under ideal demographic conditions, the population cannot grow at a rate higher than 11·2% per year. A conservative estimate of population size N_{min} was calculated at 19 965 shearwaters, from which we estimated a PBR of 100 shearwaters dying in fishing gear each year (range 87–112).

Discussion

Fossil records of Balearic shearwaters suggest that they had a very large population until the arrival of human colonizers to the Balearic archipelago ~$4·2 \times 10^3$ years ago, which brought alien carnivores and rodents that have decimated most of the breeding sites (Alcover, Seguí & Bover 1999). Harvesting was also a major pressure in historical times, though it is residual nowadays. New anthropogenic impacts appeared in recent decades, notably habitat loss by urbanization and bycatch in fisheries (Table S1) (Lewison *et al.* 2012). Oro *et al.* (2004) performed a PVA using demographic data from two predator-free sites and concluded that the population would reach extinction in a few decades. Ten years later, our results confirm this prediction, despite considering a larger base population. Our latest results should be considered as more robust, as they are based on a larger (and updated) data set, and use improved, up-to-date capture–recapture modelling procedures. Moreover, they show that fisheries are a crucial factor for the viability of the species.

Under the present scenario, we predicted a time to extinction of 61 years, which confirms that the Balearic shearwater is one of the most endangered bird species in the western Palaearctic (BirdLife International 2016). Two opposite biases may have occurred in our study. First, survival and fertility were probably overestimated, because these parameters are impacted in most colonies by alien predators (Arcos 2011), but were not present in the study colony. Secondly, our survival estimates were local, that is did not distinguish mortality from permanent dispersal. While this last bias was likely very small for adult survival [breeding dispersal in Procellariiformes is very low, for example Sanz-Aguilar *et al.* (2011)], it might be important for immature individual survival, since natal dispersal may not be negligible (Genovart *et al.* 2007). However, we should note that there may be immigration of pre-breeders from other colonies. We should also highlight that our estimate of bycatch rate is based on very limited recovery data, and thus, it contains much uncertainty and should be treated with caution. Additionally, this estimate may be biased in either direction; bycaught birds may be more easily recovered than animals that have died from other causes, but also some recovered birds were assigned as having an unknown cause of death, but they may be bycaught birds, for example animals found on beaches after drowning when released from fishing gear entanglement (Generalitat Valenciana 2012).

Fig. 2. Stochastic projections of Balearic shearwater population over 100 years under different scenarios proposed: (1) current situation, (2) reduced breeding success under future ban of discards, (3) conditions under future ban of discards but bycatch reduced; (4) current situation and bycatch reduced, (5) hypothetical conditions with optimal survival probabilities and discard banning, (6) current conditions but with optimal survival probabilities. Each graph shows 20 randomly chosen trajectories from the 1000 population trajectories run in our Monte Carlo simulations.

However, this rough estimate is closely matched by the bycatch rate estimated from the Wildlife Recovery Centres' data. Overall, our prediction for the current scenario was thus rather conservative, indicating that urgent conservation action is necessary to halt the extinction of the Balearic shearwater.

THE IMPACT OF FISHERIES

Incidental capture in fishing gear represents a major cause of additive mortality for many seabirds world-wide, and it has been the focus of conservation concern and research in the last three decades (Lewison *et al.* 2012). Observer on-board programmes for longline vessels in the Mediterranean have reported low rates of bycatch for Balearic shearwaters (Belda & Sanchez 2001; Laneri *et al.* 2010), although there is increasing evidence of regular mortality, particularly by demersal longlines (ICES 2013). Moreover, events of 'mass' mortality, with over 100 birds per event, appear to occur with relative frequency, although they are difficult to detect through observer programmes with limited coverage (Besson 1973; Arcos, Louzao & Oro 2008; ICES 2008; Louzao *et al.* 2011). Bycatch impacts from other gear, such as trawlers and purse-seine vessels, have also been reported recently (Oliveira *et al.* 2015). Despite the fact that there is not a reliable estimate of the number of birds caught per year, there is no doubt that this figure is well above our estimated PBR value, and the rough estimated bycatch rate of about half of the mortality detected in Balearic shearwaters confirms that current fishery impact is unsustainable. The only scenarios yielding positive population growth rates were those assuming survival rates of other *Puffinus* species with little or no anthropogenic mortality.

By quantifying the bycatch mortality in Balearic shearwaters here, we demonstrate that the actual rate is not compatible with the viability of the species. We thus recommend the implementation of urgent mitigation actions to reduce fisheries bycatch rates in this and other top-predator species severely affected by this anthropogenic impact. The imminent scenario arising from EU fishing policies poses both threats and opportunities for many seabirds, and especially for the critically endangered Balearic shearwater. Seabird bycatch has been incorporated into the EU agenda, and efforts to reduce this source of mortality are expected (Table S1), although so far progress has been very slow (ICES 2013). Conversely, the so-called discard ban (Table S1), if ultimately beneficial for the marine ecosystem, could bring negative effects for the Balearic shearwater and other seabirds in the short term (Bicknell *et al.* 2013). First, it could accelerate the decline of the species by reducing breeding success. Secondly, attendance and bycatch risk of shearwaters at longline vessels and other fleets may increase when trawlers do not operate (García-Barcelona *et al.* 2010; Laneri *et al.* 2010), so a discard ban might increase bycatch and thus extinction probabilities. In the long term, however, if the discard reduction is accompanied by efforts to increase selectivity and reduce fishing pressure, this should be regarded as a beneficial measure for the seabirds, as fish stocks (i.e. natural prey) are expected to recover.

Conclusions and recommendations

Survival as well as bycatch mortality estimates suggests that the global population of Balearic shearwaters is not viable in the long term. While the impact of alien predators can, and should be, urgently addressed (Nogales *et al.* 2004), actions to stop or reduce bycatch are fraught with challenges because of the large spatial scales to be covered (Guilford *et al.* 2012; Louzao *et al.* 2012), the range of multinational fishing fleets involved and socio-economic considerations. However, reducing bycatch rates in the short term is unavoidable and an urgent conservation priority. More data are required to determine which factors increase bycatch rates and which are the critical areas with highest impact, and it is crucial to then apply measures such as time restrictions on fishing activity, bycatch mitigation technology and practices, as well as the education of stakeholders and consumers. Finally, it is essential to set up demographic long-term studies, to allow researchers to diagnose with reliability the effectiveness of all those actions and to apply an adaptive management process (Lahoz-Monfort, Guillera-Arroita & Hauser 2014). Although this would require long-term financial investment, these studies would also be relevant to a wide range of seabirds and marine predators, as well as to the whole marine ecosystem.

Acknowledgements

Data accessibility

Balearic shearwater data are available at http://cedai.imedea.uib-csic.es/geonetwork/srv/es/main.home?uuid=637a7431-3b19-43e4-acb5-d1a09534eaf9.

References

Alcover, J.A., Seguí, B. & Bover, P. (1999) Extinctions and Local Disappearances of Vertebrates in the Western Mediterranean Islands. *Extinc-*

tions in Near Time Advances in Vertebrate Paleobiology (ed R.D.E. Mac-Phee), pp. 165–188. Springer, USA.

Anderson, O.R.J., Small, C.J., Croxall, J.P., Dunn, E.K., Sullivan, B.J., Yates, O. & Black, A. (2011) REVIEW Global seabird bycatch in longline fisheries. *Endangered Species Research*, **14**, 91–106.

Arcos, J.M. (compiler). (2011) *International Species Action Plan for the Balearic Shearwater, Puffinus mauretanicus*. SEO/BirdLife & BirdLife International.

Arcos, J.M., Louzao, M. & Oro, D. (2008) Fishery Ecosystem Impacts and Management in the Mediterranean: Seabirds Point of View. *Reconciling Fisheries with Conservation: Proceedings of the Fourth World Fisheries Congres* (eds J.L. Nielsen, J.J. Dodson, K. Friedland, T.R. Hamon, J. Musick & E. Verspoor), pp. 1471–1479. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Arcos, J.M. & Oro, D. (2002) Significance of fisheries discards for a threatened Mediterranean seabird, the Balearic shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **239**, 209–220.

Arcos, J.M., Arroyo, G.M., Becares, J., Mateos-Rodríguez, M., Rodriguez, B., Muñoz, A.R. et al. (2012) New estimates at sea suggest a larger global population of the Balearic Shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus*. Proceedings of the 13th Medmaravis Pan-Mediterranean Symposium pp. 84–94.

Arroyo, G.M., Mateos-Rodríguez, M., Muñoz, A.R., Cruz, A.D.L., Cuenca, D. & Onrubia, A. (2014) New population estimates of a critically endangered species, the Balearic Shearwater Puffinus mauretanicus, based on coastal migration counts. Bird Conservation International, FirstView, 1–13.

Belda, E.J. & Sanchez, A. (2001) Seabird mortality on longline fisheries in the western Mediterranean: factors affecting bycatch and proposed mitigation measures. *Biological Conservation*, **98**, 357–363.

Besson, J. (1973) Remarques sur la mort accidentelle de *Puffinus yelkouans*. *Alauda*, **41**, 165–167.

Bicknell, A.W.J., Oro, D., Camphuysen, C.J. & Votier, S.C. (2013) Potential consequences of discard reform for seabird communities. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **50**, 649–658.

BirdLife International. (2016) *Species Factsheet: Puffinus mauretanicus*. Available at: http://www.birdlife.org (accessed 2 February 2016).

Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. (2002) *Model Selection and Inference. A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York.

Caswell, H. (2001) *Matrix Population Models*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Choquet, R., Rouan, L. & Pradel, R. (2009) Program E-SURGE: a software application for fitting multievent models. *Modeling Demographic Processes in Marked Populations. Environmental and Ecological Statistics Series* Vol. 3 (ed. D.L. Thomson, E.G. Cooch & M.J. Conroy), pp. 845–865. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Choquet, R., Lebreton, J.-D., Gimenez, O., Reboulet, A.-M. & Pradel, R. (2009) U-CARE: utilities for performing goodness of fit tests and manipulating CApture-REcapture data. *Ecography*, **32**, 1071–1074.

Cooper, J., Baccetti, N., Belda, E.J., Borg, J.J., Oro, D., Papaconstantinou, C. & Sánchez, A. (2003) Seabird mortality from longline fishing in the Mediterranean sea and Macaronesian waters: a review and a way forward. *Scientia Marina*, **67**, 57–64.

Croxall, J.P., Butchart, S.H.M., Lascelles, B., Stattersfield, A.J., Sullivan, B., Symes, A. & Taylor, P. (2012) Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: a global assessment. *Bird Conservation International*, **22**, 1–34.

Dillingham, P.W. & Fletcher, D. (2008) Estimating the ability of birds to sustain additional human-caused mortalities using a simple decision rule and allometric relationships. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 1783–1792.

García-Barcelona, S.G., Ortiz de Urbina, J.M., de la Serna, J.M., Alot, E. & Macías, D. (2010) Seabird bycatch in Spanish Mediterranean large pelagic longline fisheries, 2000–2008. *Aquatic Living Resources*, **23**, 363–371.

Generalitat Valenciana. (2012) *Informe Sobre El Hallazgo de 25 Ejemplares de Pardela Balear (Puffinus Mauretanicus) En La Playa Del Arenal, Burriana, Castellon*. Technical Report, Servicio de Espacios Naturales y Biodiversidad, Valencia, Spain.

Genovart, M., Oro, D., Juste, J. & Bertorelle, G. (2007) What genetics tell us about the conservation of the critically endangered Balearic Shearwater? *Biological Conservation*, **137**, 283–293.

Guilford, T., Wynn, R., McMinn, M., Rodríguez, A., Fayet, A., Maurice, L., Jones, A. & Meier, R. (2012) Geolocators reveal migration and

pre-breeding behaviour of the critically endangered balearic shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus*. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e33753.

ICES. (2008) Report of the Working Group on Seabird Ecology (WGSE). Lisbon.

ICES. (2013) *Report of the Workshop to Review and Advise on Seabird Bycatch (WKBYCS)*. ICES, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Kelleher, K. (2005) *Discards in the World's Marine Fisheries: An Update*. Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Lahoz-Monfort, J.J., Guillera-Arroita, G. & Hauser, C.E. (2014) From planning to implementation: explaining connections between adaptive management and population models. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 60.

Laneri, K., Louzao, M., Martínez-Abraín, A., Arcos, J.M., Belda, E.J., Guallart, J. et al. (2010) Trawling regime influences longline seabird bycatch in the Mediterranean: new insights from a small-scale fishery. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **420**, 241–252.

Lewison, R., Oro, D., Godley, B., Underhill, L., Bearhop, S., Wilson, R. et al. (2012) Research priorities for seabirds: improving conservation and management in the 21st century. *Endangered Species Research*, **17**, 93–121.

Louzao, M., Hyrenbach, K.D., Arcos, J.M., Abelló, P., de Sola, L.G. & Oro, D. (2006) Oceanographic habitat of an endangered mediterranean procellariiform: implications for marine protected areas. *Ecological Applications*, **16**, 1683–1695.

Louzao, M., Arcos, J.M., Laneri, K., Belda, E.J., Guallart, J., Sanchez, A., Giménez, M., Maestre, R. & Oro, D. (2011) Evidence of the incidental capture of the Balearic Shearwater at sea. *Actas del 6o Congreso del GIAM y el Taller internacional sobre la Ecología de Paiños y Pardelas en el sur de Europa* (eds X. Valeiras, G. Muñoz, A. Bermejo, J.M. Arcos & A.M. Paterson), pp. 165–168. Boletín del Grupo Ibérico de Aves Marinas, Madrid, Spain.

Louzao, M., Delord, K., García, D., Boué, A. & Weimerskirch, H. (2012) Protecting persistent dynamic oceanographic features: transboundary conservation efforts are needed for the critically endangered balearic shearwater. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e35728.

Morris, W.F. & Doak, D.F. (2002) *Quantitative Conservation Biology: Theory and Practice of Population Viability Analysis*. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.

Niel, C. & Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Using demographic invariants to detect overharvested bird populations from incomplete data. *Conservation Biology*, **19**, 826–835.

Nogales, M., Martín, A., Tershy, B.R., Donlan, C.J., Veitch, D., Puerta, N., Wood, B. & Alonso, J. (2004) A review of feral cat eradication on islands. *Conservation Biology*, **18**, 310–319.

Norris, K. (2004) Managing threatened species: the ecological toolbox, evolutionary theory and declining-population paradigm. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **41**, 413–426.

Oliveira, N., Henriques, A., Miodonski, J., Pereira, J., Marujo, D., Almeida, A. et al. (2015) Seabird bycatch in Portuguese mainland coastal fisheries: An assessment through on-board observations and fishermen interviews. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, **3**, 51–61.

Oro, D. & Ruiz, X. (1997) Seabirds and trawler fisheries in the northwestern Mediterranean: differences between the Ebro Delta and the Balearic Is. areas. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **54**, 695–707.

Oro, D., Aguilar, J.S., Igual, J.M. & Louzao, M. (2004) Modelling demography and extinction risk in the endangered Balearic shearwater. *Biological Conservation*, **116**, 93–102.

Oro, D., Genovart, M., Tavecchia, G., Fowler, M.S. & Martínez-Abraín, A. (2013) Ecological and evolutionary implications of food subsidies from humans. *Ecology Letters*, **16**, 1501–1514.

Pradel, R. (2005) Multievent: an extension of multistate capture-recapture models to uncertain states. *Biometrics*, **61**, 442–447.

Pradel, R. & Lebreton, J.-D. (1999) Comparison of different approaches to the study of local recruitment of breeders. *Bird Study*, **46**, 74–81.

Sanz-Aguilar, A., Tavecchia, G., Genovart, M., Igual, J.M., Oro, D., Rouan, L. & Pradel, R. (2011) Studying the reproductive skipping behavior in long-lived birds by adding nest inspection to individual-based data. *Ecological Applications*, **21**, 555–564.

Schaub, M. & Pradel, R. (2002) Assessing the relative importance of different sources of mortality from recoveries of marked animals. *Ecology*, **85**, 930–938.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Appendix S1. Specification of the multi-event modelling approach in program E-SURGE.

Fig. S1. Relationship between Balearic shearwater breeding success and trawling landings.

Table S1. European Union fisheries reports and plans that relate to the study.

Table S2. Population matrix model defining the average dynamics of the Balearic shearwater population.

Table S3. Survival estimates for other *Puffinus* shearwater species.

Table S4. Estimates of demographic parameters for the three best models in terms of AIC in the Recruitment analysis.

Table S5. Estimates of demographic parameters for the best model in terms of AIC in the Global analysis.

Table S6. Bycatch events of Balearic shearwaters gathered from the Literature.

Table S7. Sensitivity and elasticity of the population growth rate (λ).